Damage control resuscitation in patients with major trauma: prospects and challenges
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Abstract: Trauma is the leading cause of death for younger ages across the world, with acute traumatic hemorrhage one of the main reasons of trauma mortality. In the last decade trauma resuscitation has significantly evolved away from the era of massive crystalloid transfusion where the endpoint was to keep a normal perfusing volume. A better understanding of homeostatic mechanisms of hemostasis, and of the systemic inflammatory and counter-inflammatory response syndromes gave birth to damage control and hemostatic resuscitation. Modern trauma resuscitation aims to control the lethal triad of coagulopathy, hypothermia and acidosis with the understanding that any deregulation from the steady state will lead to a cascade of adverse events. This review paper analyzes the latest evidence-based concepts of trauma resuscitation. These new strategies are the early transfusion of blood products in a ratio of ≤2:1:1 [packed red blood cells (PRBCs): fresh frozen plasma (FFP): platelets], the warming of the patient and of the administered fluids, the intravenous administration of 1 g of tranexamic acid (TXA) within 3 h of injury and then infusion of another 1 g for 8 hours, the use of viscoelastic hemostatic assays (VHAs) for optimization of the transfusion strategy, the minimization of active bleeding through permissive hypotension, and the early bleeding control with mechanical, interventional and surgical methods. Emphasis is placed in the evidence supporting each one of these interventions, and their application during the different stages of trauma care, from the prehospital to the hospital setting.

Keywords: Wounds and injury; hemorrhage; hemostasis; resuscitation; emergencies

Received: 26 January 2020; Accepted: 06 July 2020; Published: 30 October 2020.

doi: 10.21037/jeccm-20-24

View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jeccm-20-24

Introduction

Trauma continues being a leading cause of death across the globe, especially for younger ages (1). When analyzing trauma related deaths, acute traumatic hemorrhage is one of the leading reasons—along with brain injuries—of mortality (2). Major trauma resuscitation has been through a long journey to our current standard of care which has improved the outcome of the patients. During the last 40 years there is a gradual trend to move from a universal and dogmatic approach to a more patient tailored strategy (3). In trauma care, anatomy is becoming less important and physiology has progressively taken its place under the spotlight.

Once an actively bleeding patient has been recognized, then the modern resuscitative approach focuses on stopping the bleeding, replacing the circulating blood volume,
correcting trauma related physiological complications such as trauma induced coagulopathy. During the last few the new term of damage control resuscitation (DCR) has been introduced to describe this kind of approach, prioritizing hemostasis over maintaining a “normal” perfusing blood pressure (3). DCR encompasses different strategies aiming to reverse the physiological consequences of what is known as the lethal triad of trauma: hypothermia, coagulopathy and acidosis (4). This sinister triad creates a catastrophic physiological situation in patients who are in extremis after severe injury. Traditional strategies making use of aggressive fluid resuscitation, and surgical procedures aiming to provide definitive treatment to the injuries act as a “second hit” further derailing the patient’s physiological status decreasing the patient’s immediate survival (5). In addition, in many cases where patients survive from this physiological derailment, they may never recover and be steered towards multiple organ failure leading to late mortality after injury.

This review paper aims to provide insight in the latest developments of DCR focusing on the concept of hemostatic resuscitation of multiple trauma patients.

The lethal triad of trauma

Apart of the anatomical considerations particular to the type of injury, major trauma patients with massive blood loss present a syndrome called the lethal triad. This encompasses acidosis, hypothermia and coagulopathy that are linked both to the events causing them but also to each other, creating a vicious circle (Figure 1) (6).

Acidosis

Uncontrolled blood loss eventually causes hypovolemia with reduction of the cardiac preload and consequently reduction of the heart contractility and of the arterial blood pressure. This is recognized by the baroreceptors mainly situated at the carotid sinus which trigger a sympathetic discharge leading to arteriole and veniole constriction (7). This compensatory mechanism reduces the blood flow of the skin, skeletal muscles and the gut in favor of the brain, heart and kidneys and initially manages to preserve a perfusing blood pressure to these organs. Nevertheless, the addition of excessive blood loss, endothelial damage and tissue injury causes peripheral tissue hypoperfusion with inadequate oxygen delivery, leading to anaerobic metabolism and accumulation of lactic acid. Acidosis renders the enzymatic systems inactive and causes impairment in multiple organs. In trauma patients the severity of acidosis correlates with the dysfunction of coagulation factors and predicts mortality (8). A pH of 7.1 will severely decrease fibrin formation, by decreasing its propagation phase by 50% (9). Severe
acidosis has been shown to act on the other clotting factors, reducing the activity of factor VIIa by 90% and of factors Xa/Va by 70% (8,9).

**Hypothermia**

Trauma patients commonly present with hypothermia on arrival due to exposure, blood loss, and administration of unwarmed fluids. Based on the patient’s core temperature hypothermia is classified as severe (<32 °C), moderate (32–33.9 °C) and mild (34–36 °C). Risk of hypothermia is not uniquely present in cold climates as data from Iraq and Afghanistan show that 9.8% of combat trauma patients present hypothermia. In these patients, severe hypothermia <32 °C was correlated with an increased injury severity score (ISS) and had almost half the survival of normothermic trauma patients (10). The effects of hypothermia are equally catastrophic in the civilian setting, and patients in severe hypothermia requiring emergency laparotomy have a mortality reaching 100% (11,12). Moderate hypothermia directly inhibits platelet aggregation and decreases coagulation factor activity by 10% for each degree of core temperature drop (13,14).

**Coagulopathy**

Coagulopathy is a major component of the lethal triad directly exacerbating hemorrhage. Both acidosis and hypothermia can cause coagulopathy with the mechanisms previously discussed (8,9,13,14). In addition to the coagulopathy of the lethal triad researchers recognize three other categories of coagulopathy related to trauma: acute traumatic coagulopathy from endogenous anticoagulation, consumptive coagulopathy and dilutional coagulopathy (15,16). The first one is observed in one-third of trauma patients and is characterized by a prolongation of the prothrombin time together with prolonged clotting time in viscoelastic hemostatic assays (VHAs) (17-20). The trigger for this endogenous anticoagulation is extensive endothelial damage causing in parallel auto-heparinization, protein C activation and increased fibrinolysis (21,22). Auto-heparinization occurs when microvascular trauma fragments the endothelial glycocalyx releasing molecules with heparin-like activity in the blood stream (23). Consumptive coagulopathy is believed to be caused by disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) from failure of the hemostatic mechanism to keep the balance between coagulation and fibrinolysis (24). Last, dilutional coagulopathy is the result of the administration of large volumes of crystalloid intravenous fluids in patients with severe bleeding, which leads to dilution of the coagulation factors; the severity of this coagulopathy is relative to the infused fluid volumes (25). The infusion of synthetic colloids such as hydroxyethyl starch interferes directly with the platelet and the factor XIIIa function, further aggravating the coagulopathy (26). Failure to address the aforementioned physiological imbalances, leads to non-salvageable situations where the trauma victim succumbs to the lethal triad in spite of the prompt resuscitation attempts.

**Damage control resuscitation**

DCR is a staged strategy addressing the physiological derailment of major trauma patients by prioritizing the restoration of physiological function and the reversal of the lethal triad over the definitive treatment of the injury (8). Trauma patients in extremis cannot tolerate complex and prolonged surgical procedures. As such life-saving interventions are performed in the retrieval and emergency setting in order to stabilize the patient’s physiological status. Trauma protocols prioritize now catastrophic bleeding over other life-threatening condition by implementing the C-ABCDE approach (27-29). Damage control surgery (DCS) is similarly targeting life-threatening conditions while deferring treatment of non-vital injuries to a later stage. Physiological optimization is undertaken in the ICU and definitive surgical treatment is undertaken only after normalization of the patient status (Figure 2) (12).

One of the primary goals of DCR is the reversal of the lethal triad of hypothermia, acidosis and coagulopathy. Hypothermia is addressed by limiting the patient’s exposure with passive warming using insulating blankets and active external warming with the use of air heaters. These methods usually the core temperature increases by 2 °C each hour. Use of active internal warming by administration of warm intravenous, intravesical and intragastric fluids is a more aggressive way to increase the core temperature by 4–5 °C per hour (30). There are observational data showing improved outcomes when using extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for warming patients in cardiopulmonary arrest and hypothermia (31).

There is no specific method for the reversal of acidosis in trauma patients. Administration of sodium bicarbonate will transiently increase the pH but will also cause a build-up of carbon dioxide leading to intracellular acidosis. This in turn
causes depression of the myocardial contractility worsening the tissue hypoperfusion. A large randomized controlled trial (RCT) from France used sodium bicarbonate in Intensive Care Unit patients with severe acidemia (pH ≤7.2) in order to maintain a pH of >7.3 but did not show any overall survival benefit of these patients when compared with the control group (32). On the other hand, early appropriate care of trauma patients has been shown to reduce exposure to acidosis and decrease complications. In a prospective cohort study including 332 patients with major trauma, defined by an ISS ≥16, had their fractures fixated within 36 hours of admission. When compared to an historic cohort, they had fewer complications with the only independent predictors of complication rate being the ISS and the time to achieve early appropriate care (33). As such the treatment of acidosis should be focused in providing early definitive care of injuries and maintaining an adequate tissue perfusion with peripheral delivery of oxygen.

**Hypotensive resuscitation**

Optimization of the peripheral tissue perfusion in trauma patients is another issue that has changed in the last years. In the past the goal was to maintain a “normal” perfusing pressure and several clinical endpoints as the heart rate, peripheral pulse and blood pressure were used, but their use is unreliable (34). More than two decades ago, Bickell et al. reported that patients with penetrating torso injuries not receiving any intravenous crystalloids before arriving in the operating room had a better survival had a better survival (70% vs. 62%, P=0.04), reduced morbidity and a shorter hospital stay when compared to those who received aggressive fluid resuscitation (35). This gave birth to the concept of permissive hypotension in trauma resuscitation; the goal is to keep the arterial blood pressure low enough to avoid clot dislodgement and exacerbation of arterial hemorrhage while maintaining an adequate perfusing pressure. In practice a systolic blood pressure of 80–90 mmHg is allowed until the patient is brought in the operating room for surgical hemostasis (36). Several studies have reported a survival benefit when using this strategy when compared with aggressive intravenous crystalloid fluids administration. A recent metanalysis pooling 1,158 patients showed that patients treated with permissive hypotension had a survival benefit (odds ratio: 0.70, 95% confidence interval: 0.53–0.92), received fewer blood products and had lesser estimated blood loss. However, most of the studies were underpowered and there was heterogeneity in the systolic blood pressures used as well as in the inclusion of patients with blunt and penetrating injuries (37). An important caveat is the application permissive hypotension in patients with head injury as in these cases hypotension is poorly tolerated. Preservation of cerebral perfusing pressure is of the utmost importance in head-injured patients, as a drop of systolic blood pressure <90 mmHg has been implicated in a two-fold increase of mortality (38).

**Mechanical hemostasis**

Hemorrhage control is of critical importance as the patient’s own blood is the ideal fluid to retain circulation...
volume. A variety of methods have been suggested and used with a great variability of outcomes. van Oostendorp et al. have published a review of more than 70 articles of the literature summarizing the available modalities and their outcome but concluded that the level of evidence was quite low (39). Most of these modalities have been created for the prehospital settings, nevertheless they have also seen application in the emergency department. Direct wound pressure remains the optimal approach for external bleeding wounds, where tourniquets and splinters have been used mainly for limb control with or without bone fractures. In particular, tourniquets have emerged as the ideal hemostatic modality for mangled extremities in the civilian setting, after extrapolation of data showing that they are lifesaving in the combat setting (40). In addition, public awareness and education programs such as “Stop the Bleed” organized by the American College of Surgeons has drastically increased the number of laypersons that are capable of applying tourniquets (41). It should be noted though that in spite of training, in an important number of cases in the prehospital setting, tourniquets can be either inappropriately placed or not placed in patients that required them by the emergency medical teams (42). Hemostatic modalities such as wound clamps, injectable hemostatic sponges, pelvic circumferential stabilizers, intra-abdominal gas insufflation, and intra-abdominal self-expanding foam have been used with a low level of evidence (39). Others such as pelvic binders are currently not supported by a high level of evidence.

Resuscitative thoracotomy (RT) in the emergency department has been used widely for proximal control of bleeding in peri arrested and unstable patients. Indications include patients with penetrating thoracic and subdiaphragmatic injuries that have signs of life at the scene and arrive at the emergency department with organized cardiac activity (43). A recent analysis of data from Europe showed that in 887 patients receiving emergency department thoracotomy, survival rates of 4.8% for blunt and 20.7% for penetrating trauma (44). However, data from a national cohort study in Japan with 1,377 critical blunt trauma patients who received cardiopulmonary resuscitation in the emergency department found out that the patients who had RT had a lower one-month survival when compared to those having closed-chest compression (1.2% vs. 6.0%, P<0.001). In addition, RT requires surgical expertise, available material and has relatively high collateral complications. That’s why the last decade other alternatives have been proposed such as the Resuscitative Endovascular Balloon Occlusion of the Aorta (REBOA), where the descending thoracic aorta is occluded by a balloon catheter inserted in the emergency department (45). Observational data from 285 patients show that when REBOA is applied in patients in extremis or near arrest without penetrating thoracic injuries, it achieves superior survival beyond the emergency department (RT: 44%, REBOA: 63%; P=0.004) and survival to discharge (RT: 2.5%, REBOA: 9.6%; P=0.023) (46). In general, trauma patients with signs of life on site arriving at the emergency room with an organized cardiac function can be candidates for RT if they have penetrating chest injuries and for REBOA if they don’t. Both techniques have their indications but require availability of material, expertise and standardization of the treatment protocols in order to improve the survival of these severely injured patients.

Hemostatic resuscitation

In the last decade, trauma resuscitation has gone far away from the dogma of aggressive intravenous crystalloid administration that dominated previously. It started being evident more than 15 years ago that the blood volume of patients with massive bleeding should be replaced with the same element lost—blood (47). Soon, more and more data emerged supporting that the administration of packed red blood cells (PRBCs), fresh frozen plasma (FFP) and platelets had a survival benefit when compared with the administration of crystalloids and colloids in trauma (48). The Prospective Observational Multicenter Major Trauma Transfusion (PROMMTT) study analyzed 905 trauma patients requiring three or more units of blood production within 24 h of the injury. It found out that an early administration of high dose FFP and platelets in a ratio of 1:1:1 significantly reduced early mortality (49). This transfusion strategy for major hemorrhage was also validated by the Pragmatic Randomized Optimal Platelet and Plasma Ratios (PROPR) trial which randomized 680 injured patients with major hemorrhage in receiving FFP: platelets: PRBC in a ratio of 1:1:1 compared to a ratio of 1:1:2. In the 1:1:1 group a higher percentage of patients achieved hemostasis (86% vs. 78%, P=0.006), received less transfusions after the intervention and received less frequently cryoprecipitate (22% vs. 29%, P=0.01) but had the same mortality at 24 h with the 1:1:2 group (50). The 1:1:1 strategy is implemented in the transfusion protocols of major trauma of national authorities such as the National Institute of Clinical Excellence (NICE) in the UK (51). It is
useful to know the existence of scoring systems such as the Trauma Associated Severe Hemorrhage, and the Assessment of Blood Consumption which can predict the need of massive blood transfusion (>10 units in 24 h) in trauma patients (52). Interestingly, there are reports that important heterogeneity in the massive transfusion protocols exists in Europe and the USA due to national and international differences in preparation and volume of blood components and in the interpretation of the 1:1:1 rule (53,54).

Tranexamic acid (TXA) is an inexpensive anti-fibrinolytic agent that blocks lysine-binding sites on plasminogen, effectively blocking the degradation of organized fibrin clots. The Clinical Randomization of an Antifibrinolytic in Significant Hemorrhage (CRASH-2) is a large multicentric RCT reported that trauma patients with suspected significant bleeding had increased survival when receiving TXA when compared to placebo (55). These results were validated in the military setting by the MATTERs trial and in the pediatric trauma setting by the PED-TRAX trial (56,57). In surgical patients, TXA reduces the probability to receive a transfusion (risk ratio: 0.62, 95% confidence interval: 0.58–0.65; P<0.001), and decreases mortality (0.61, 0.38–0.98; P=0.04) without increasing the risk of myocardial infarction, stroke, deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism (58). Interestingly there are at least 126 published RCTs assessing the effect of TXA on blood transfusion in surgery making this one of the most redundant areas of study (59). TXA must be given within 3 h of injury in an intravenous dose of 1 g followed by a further 1 g infusion over 8 h. Due to its availability and ease of administration, it has been integrated in both prehospital and in-hospital trauma protocols (60).

Ionized serum calcium plays a very important role in hemostasis as it helps the binding of coagulation proteins on cellular membranes. Citrate contained in PRBC transfusion bags act as anticoagulant by eliminating calcium through chelation (7). Almost all trauma patients receiving massive transfusion of blood products manifest hypocalcemia which can be severe in trauma patients causes hypocalcemia which can be severe (ionized calcium <0.9 mmol/L) in 70% of cases. These patients with severe hypocalcemia have been found to have received a bigger number of transfusions, to have coagulopathy and a mortality two times higher than trauma patients with higher levels of ionized calcium (61). Ionized calcium decreases even after a transfusion of a single red blood cells unit and this drop increases with the number of transfused blood products (62). A prospective study of 591 critically ill trauma patients found that more than half of them had ionized calcium <1 mmol/L on admission. They had increased mortality (15.5% vs. 8.7%, P=0.036), received more multiple transfusions (17.1% vs. 7.1%, P=0.005) and more of them had massive transfusion (8.2% vs. 2.2%, P=0.017). Multivariable logistic regression analysis identified ionized calcium <1 mmol/L as an independent predictor of the need for multiple transfusions after adjusting for age and injury severity (odds ratio: 2.294, 95% confidence interval: 1.053–4.996) (63). The same findings are seen in major trauma patients that did not receive prehospital blood transfusion which means that mechanisms apart from the effect of the citrate in transfused products are responsible (64). Hypercalcitoninemia and inappropriate calciuria have been observed in major trauma patients and it is hypothesized that the calcium regulatory mechanisms may by disrupted in these patients (65). It seems that calcium plays an equally important role to the elements of the lethal triad in trauma coagulopathy and it should be closely monitored and corrected (66).

Thromboelastography (TEG) and rotational thromboelastometry (ROTEM) are two techniques measuring and displaying changes in the viscoelastic strength of a small sample of clotting blood to which a constant rotational force is applied. The analysis of these results allows the assessment of clot formation, propagation, stabilization and dissolution, effectively providing valuable information about the platelets, coagulation factors and fibrinolysis (67). The use of these VHA has been used to manage the administration of blood products during massive transfusion protocols. Gonzalez et al. randomized 111 injured patients meeting the criteria for massive blood transfusion to be managed either by TEG or by conventional coagulation assays including international normalized ratio (INR), fibrinogen and platelet count. The TEG group had a higher 28-day survival (19.6% vs. 36.4%, P=0.049), and consumed less units of FFP and platelets (68). In addition, a systematic review of 17 RCT with 1,493 bleeding patients, TEG or ROTEM when compared to transfusion guided by any other method had reduced overall mortality, less red blood cell, FFP and platelet transfusions, but with a low quality of evidence (69). Newer protocols are being developed incorporating TEG and ROTEM with hemostatic assays in order to identify early patients with trauma induced coagulopathy and to provide data-driven optimization of DCR in trauma patients (70).

In conclusion, major bleeding and trauma coagulopathy
are two of the most important factors defining trauma patient survival. Modern resuscitation protocols can apply the principles of hemostatic resuscitation starting in the prehospital and continuing to the emergency room setting (71). The main targets are: a transfusion of blood products in a ratio of $\leq 2:1:1$ (PRBC: FFP: platelets), warming of the patient and of the administered fluids, intravenous administration of 1 g of TXA within 3 h of injury and then infusion of another 1 g for 8 hours, use of VHA to optimize the transfusion strategy, minimization of active bleeding through permissive hypotension, and early bleeding control with mechanical means with early surgical or interventional control of the hemorrhage. As more data become available, we will be able to optimize the resuscitation of major trauma patients and avoid preventable deaths from hemorrhage.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the Guest Editor (Athanasios Chalkias) for the series “Recent Advances in Critical Emergency Medicine: From Pathophysiology to Clinical Practice” published in Journal of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine. The article was sent for external peer review organized by the Guest Editor and the editorial office.

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jeccm-20-24). The series “Recent Advances in Critical Emergency Medicine: From Pathophysiology to Clinical Practice” was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References


67. Whiting D, DiNardo JA. TEG and ROTEM: technology


