The impact of acute kidney injury on frailty status in critical illness survivors—is there enough evidence?
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Introduction

Acute kidney injury (AKI) occurs in approximately 20% of hospitalized patients, and the incidence doubles in patients admitted to the intensive care units (ICUs) (1,2). AKI carries high morbidity, resource utilization and mortality, particularly in critically ill patients in whom mortality rates could be as high as 50% (3-5). Survivors of AKI are susceptible to kidney and non-kidney related complications such as the development or progression of chronic kidney disease (CKD) (6), end-stage renal disease (ESRD) (6), and cardiovascular disease (7-9). Moreover, survivors of AKI are also at higher risk of early re-hospitalization (10) and increased risk of long-term mortality (10,11).

Frailty is a clinical syndrome characterized by a physiological age-related decline in functional reserve of several organs (12). It comprises domains such as nutritional status, energy expenditure, metabolic rate, cognitive function and sarcopenia. Frailty occurs most frequently in older adults, and similar to AKI, carries high risk of poor outcomes such as physical disability, functional decline, frequent hospitalizations and increased mortality, particularly in critically ill elderly patients admitted to the ICU (13-15).

Several clinical tools have been developed to help in the diagnosis of the frailty syndrome. The most commonly used are: (I) the physical frailty phenotype (PFP), which identifies frailty phenotypes based on the examination of changes in weight, weakness and walking speed (16); (II) the comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA), which examines medical, psychosocial, and functional limitations of older adults by a multidisciplinary team of healthcare professionals with the objective of creating a treatment plan of long-term support and rehabilitation for frail adults (17); and (III) the clinical frailty scale (CFS), which uses pictographs to subjectively stratify older adults according to their level of vulnerability to have poor outcomes such as prolonged hospitalizations and increased mortality (14,18,19). Several studies have attempted to validate and compare these tools, but none of the tools has shown to be superior to their counterparts and therefore there is no single tool for assessment of frailty postulated as standard of care (20-22).

Despite frailty and AKI are commonly encountered in critically ill and elderly patients, their interplay and interaction remain unclear. Nonetheless, it is possible that they predispose to each other in a vicious circle and therefore worsen patient’s overall prognosis (Figure 1). To date, most of the frailty studies have evaluated this syndrome in patients with ESRD on prevalent HD but not in critically ill patients with or without AKI. In ESRD patients, for example, the prevalence of frailty ranged from 35% to 73%, and frailty was associated with higher mortality rates (14,23-25). In these studies, frailty was examined with heterogeneous tools such as PFP and CFS.

AKI and frailty: what is the evidence?

In a recent post-hoc analysis, Abdel-Kader et al. (26) utilized
data from the prospective bringing to light the risk factors and incidence of neuropsychological dysfunction in ICU survivors (BRAIN-ICU) cohort (14,18,19) to examine the association between AKI and subsequent frailty status in critically ill survivors. This cohort included critically ill patients in whom their frailty status was examined at baseline, 3 months, and 12 months using the CFS tool. The study included patients that were admitted to the ICU, had acute respiratory failure and/or shock (septic or cardiogenic) and daily serum creatinine (SCr) measurements during the hospital stay. Patients with ESRD, history of kidney transplant, death before discharge from the hospital, and those without follow-up visits were excluded. A total of 371 patients comprised the cohort for the primary analysis. The authors determined baseline kidney function as follows: (I) mean of all outpatient SCr measurements from 7 days to 365 days prior to the index admission or (II) the lowest SCr during the hospitalization. Further, they performed clinical adjudication of baseline SCr if the lowest SCr during the hospitalization was ≥0.5 mg/dL below the mean of all outpatient SCr values (obtained from those with available data) or if patients had a baseline SCr that resulted in an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of <60 mL/min/1.73 m². Two nephrologists blinded to the study outcomes performed the clinical adjudication. The latter resulted in 21 patients with adjusted values of baseline SCr. AKI was defined and staged according to kidney disease improving global outcomes (KDIGO)-SCr criteria (27) using the difference between baseline SCr and the maximum SCr during the hospitalization.

The authors demonstrated that AKI stages 1, 2, and 3 were independently associated with higher frailty scores (CFS-based) at 3 months (stage 1: OR, 1.92; 95% CI, 1.14–3.24; stage 2: OR, 2.40; 95% CI, 1.31–4.42; stage 3: OR, 4.41; 95% CI, 2.20–8.82) and 12 months (stage 1: OR, 1.87; 95% CI, 1.11–3.14; stage 2: OR, 1.81; 95% CI, 0.94–3.48; stage 3: OR, 2.76, 95% CI, 1.34–5.66). The multivariable adjustment included confounders such as demographic characteristics, baseline SCr, baseline CSF score, and other relevant clinical parameters such as Sequential Organ Failure Assessment Score (28) and Charlson Comorbidity Score (29). In addition, the authors performed sensitivity analyses restricting the cohort to patients with measured SCr as outpatient before the index hospitalization (n=177), to patients with a baseline CFS score ≤5 (mildly frail or no frail), and to survivors at 3 or 12 months. Overall, the sensitivity analyses were concordant with the primary analysis despite

Figure 1 Overview of the potential interplay between frailty and acute kidney injury. AKI, acute kidney injury; BP, blood pressure; CKD, chronic kidney disease; ESRD, end-stage renal disease.
some power limitations due to reduced sample size. Finally, these associations were similar when the dialysis status at discharge, the timing of peak SCr (≤48 vs. >48 hours from hospital admission) or the length of mechanical ventilation were introduced into the multivariable models.

The strengths of the study by Abdel-Kader et al. (26) are: (I) the availability of longitudinal data evaluating the post-ICU frailty syndrome utilizing the CFS tool at baseline, 3 and 12 months; (II) the comprehensive determination of baseline SCr, including clinical adjudication by two nephrologists; (III) the use of a conventional SCr-based definition of AKI (KDIGO); and (IV) the sensitivity analyses that addressed the misclassification of AKI based on the determination of baseline SCr, the effect of high frailty scores at baseline and the competing risk of death during the post-ICU observation period.

Nonetheless, there are important limitations in this study that should be noted: (I) the authors conducted an observational study that used single-center data which may not be representative of a heterogeneous ICU population; (II) a causal effect between AKI and subsequent frailty status in ICU survivors cannot be inferred from this study; (III) additional AKI data such as etiology, urine output, and/or duration of AKI were not available and may also be useful to underpin potential hypotheses in relation to the identified association between AKI and frailty; and (IV) data pertaining to post-discharge kidney function were not available, which precludes adjustment for the potential residual confounding effect of AKI-to-CKD progression in this subset of critically ill survivors.

In a distinct single-center study, Baek et al. (30) examined the effect of frailty as a predictor of AKI in hospitalized geriatric patients admitted to a South-Korean hospital. One major difference is that they measured frailty using CGA instead of the CFS tool used in the BRAIN-ICU study. Further, this study included patients who had a single CGA examination within one year before the index hospitalization; therefore, there was a heterogeneous time from CGA assessment to hospital admission in these patients. AKI was defined according to KDIGO SCr-criteria (27). The authors found that frailty was independently associated with the development of AKI (adjusted HR =3.54, 95% CI, 1.58–7.96, P=0.002). Also, they found that frailty was associated with a higher likelihood of being discharged to a nursing home facility, and with a higher risk of short- and long-term mortality during an observation period of 1 year. Despite being a single-center observational study restricted to a specific geriatric population [mean age (SD) 76.9 (5.95), 47.3% were male, and 13.7% were admitted to the ICU], this study further adds to the evolving evidence that there is a biologically plausible connection between frailty and AKI, and perhaps a predisposition to each other in some specific clinical context that remains to be determined. Certainly, more studies addressing this relationship and the putative mechanisms of these associations are direly needed because is of paramount of importance to address functional status and quality of life in survivors from critical illness and AKI as part of patient-centered research initiatives.

Conclusions

In summary, the study by Abdel-Kader et al. (26) revealed a novel and independent association between AKI and subsequent frailty status at 3 and 12 months in survivors from critical illness. This important observational study could be hypothesis generating to investigate the mechanisms that could link both of these syndromes and how they could potentially predispose to each other. It is possible that the overall frailty status of a patient correlates with renal functional reserve and therefore constitute an independent risk factor for developing AKI. In addition, some injury patterns of AKI such as inflammation and immune system dysregulation may predispose to frailty. Further, some AKI consequences such as fluid overload, anemia, cardiovascular disease, and metabolic derangements may also affect the frailty status of some susceptible critical illness survivors. Further studies should validate these findings, underpin potential mechanisms of this—possibly bidirectional—association, and importantly, develop therapeutic strategies focused on ameliorating the burden of frailty in survivors of critical illness and AKI.
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